

EXTRAORDINARY MEETING of Council

AGENDA

| Time: | 12.30 pm |
|--------|---|
| Date: | Wednesday, 24 May 2023 |
| Venue: | Waiata House, 27 Lincoln Road, Masterton |

MEMBERSHIP

Mayor Gary Caffell (Chairperson)

Councillor Bex Johnson Councillor Craig Bowyer Councillor Brent Goodwin Councillor David Holmes Councillor Tom Hullena Councillor Stella Lennox Councillor Tim Nelson Councillor Marama Tuuta

Values

- 1. **Public interest:** members will serve the best interests of the people within the Masterton district and discharge their duties conscientiously, to the best of their ability.
- 2. **Public trust:** members, in order to foster community confidence and trust in their Council, will work together constructively and uphold the values of honesty, integrity, accountability and transparency.
- 3. **Ethical behaviour**: members will not place themselves in situations where their honesty and integrity may be questioned, will not behave improperly and will avoid the appearance of any such behaviour.
- 4. **Objectivity:** members will make decisions on merit; including appointments, awarding contracts, and recommending individuals for rewards or benefits.
- 5. **Respect for others:** will treat people, including other members, with respect and courtesy, regardless of their ethnicity, age, religion, gender, sexual orientation, or disability. Members will respect the impartiality and integrity of Council staff.
- 6. **Duty to uphold the law:** members will comply with all legislative requirements applying to their role, abide by this Code, and act in accordance with the trust placed in them by the public.
- Equitable contribution: members will take all reasonable steps to ensure they fulfil the duties and responsibilities of office, including attending meetings and workshops, preparing for meetings, attending civic events, and participating in relevant training seminars.
- 8. **Leadership:** members will actively promote and support these principles and ensure they are reflected in the way in which MDC operates, including a regular review and assessment of MDC's collective performance.

These values complement, and work in conjunction with, the principles of section 14 of the LGA 2002; the governance principles of section 39 of the LGA 2002; and our MDC governance principles:

| Whakamana Tangata | Respecting the mandate of each member, and ensuring the integrity of the committee as a whole by acknowledging the principle of collective responsibility and decision-making. |
|----------------------|--|
| Manaakitanga | Recognising and embracing the mana of others. |
| Rangatiratanga | Demonstrating effective leadership with integrity, humility, honesty and transparency. |
| Whanaungatanga | Building and sustaining effective and efficient relationships. |
| Kotahitanga | Working collectively. |

Order Of Business

| 1 | Conf | licts of Interest | 5 |
|---|-------|---|----|
| 2 | Apole | ogies | 5 |
| 3 | Items | s not on the Agenda | 5 |
| 4 | Repo | rts for Decision | 6 |
| | 4.1 | Annual Report 2021/2022 | 6 |
| | 4.2 | Cyclone and Emergency Event Roading Recovery Update and Approvals | 10 |

1 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

2 APOLOGIES

The Chair invites notice from members of:

- leave of absence for future meetings of Masterton District Council
- apologies, including apologies for lateness and early departure from the meeting where leave of absence has not previously been granted.

3 ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

The Chairperson will give notice of items not on the agenda as follows:

Matters requiring urgent attention as determined by resolution of the Council

- The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
- The reason why discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

Minor matters relating to the general business of Council

No resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of the item except to refer it to a subsequent meeting of Masterton District Council for further discussion.

4 **REPORTS FOR DECISION**

4.1 ANNUAL REPORT 2021/2022

File Number:

| Author: | David Paris, Manager Finance | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Authoriser: | David Hopman, Chief Executive | | |

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to present Council's 2021/2022 Annual Report for adoption, following the completion of the audit undertaken by Audit New Zealand.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council

- 1. Adopts the Annual Report for 2021/2022.
- 2. Notes that the Annual Report and a Summary of that document must be published within one month of adoption.
- 3. Delegates authority to the Mayor and Chief Executive to approve any minor edits prior to publication that do not change the intent of the document, or changes requested by Audit New Zealand.

CONTEXT

The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) requires all councils to prepare an Annual Report at the end of each financial year. Council's financial year end was 30 June 2022.

Under legislation Council is required to adopt the Annual Report by 31 October, noting this date was extended to 31 December for the 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22 financial years due to COVID-19 implications.

As noted in the report to Council's Audit and Risk committee in November 2022 (report 5.9), the audit of the 2021/22 Annual Report was delayed due to Audit New Zealand's capacity to undertake the audit. The audit has been undertaken over February/March/April 2023.

A final draft copy of the Annual Report 2021/22 is attached to this report (Attachment 1 under separate cover).

The report remains a final draft as late changes may still be requested/agreed as a result of the audit process. The Council's auditors (Audit New Zealand) are expected to issue an unqualified opinion on the report and a draft is attached. The version of the opinion in the draft Annual Report document has yet to be fully updated with correct page numbers and wording.



The opinion means that in the opinion of the auditors, the financial statements fairly reflect the financial performance and position of the Council for the year ended 30 June 2022.

ANALYSIS AND ADVICE

The purpose of an annual report is:

- to compare the actual activities and performance of the Council against what was planned for that year in the Long-Term Plan and the annual plan; and
- to promote accountability to the community for the decisions made throughout the year.

The 2021/22 Annual Report is reporting against Year 1 of the 2021-2031 Long-Term Plan. The Annual Report document includes an introductory section with highlights of the year's achievements and also detailed commentary at the activity level, of the achievements of the organisation as well as full financial reporting.

The Annual Report includes detailed commentary of the performance and achievements of the organisation during the year. Specific reference is made to the impacts and responses to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Financial Summary

- Council has recorded a surplus of \$9.92 million which includes \$1.72 million of vested assets from subdivision developments and \$4.4 million of gains from revaluation of financial instruments
- Operating expenditure of \$58.56 million is 7.8% more than planned
- Operating revenue of \$68.47 million is 13.5% more than planned

There are valuation gains and losses incorporated in the above accounting result. After eliminating those Other Gains and Losses the net surplus is \$6.98 million versus a Plan of \$6.03 million. Both the actual and the planned accounting surplus are a result of having external revenue being applied to capital projects. Much of that revenue is restricted or tied to specific uses. For example, Financial Contributions from subdivision development is \$2.87m (\$1.38m more than planned) can only be applied to specific development purposes. Subsidies for roading projects, three waters stimulus, the skatepark and airport have all contributed to the additional revenue but have been applied to the projects to which they relate.

The 2021/22 Long Term Plan (year 1) anticipated some \$1.2 million borrowed from Reserve funds to smooth the rates increases over the ten years of the LTP. Only \$1.0 million has been required while \$415,000 of other savings have been added to the Flood Damage Fund. That fund's balance sits at \$229,300 at 30 June 2022. After these allocations to and from reserve funds the Rates Requirement Statement (Note 1) reflects a very small surplus.

Non-Financial Performance Measures Update

One non-financial measure previously reported as 'achieved' has been revised to 'not achieved' in 2021/22. That measure relates to the Water Supply measure for compliance with part 5 of the Drinking Water Standards (protozoal compliance criteria). Council commissioned an independent report on compliance with the new Taumata Arowai drinking water standards. It was undertaken by WSP as the Ministry of Health no longer performs this role. That assessment uses all the

monitoring information supplied by the Council and identified 4 occasions when, treated water quality for Masterton's drinking water treatment did not comply with protozoal standard.

The report states that there were 4 occasions during which the filtered turbidity was higher than 0.1 NTU for more than 15 minutes. While this is a minor technical non-compliance as the filters were offline and not supplying water to the network, it does mean we did not comply 100% of the time with the new Taumata Arowai drinking water standards. The measure has been revised from fully compliant to compliant for 98.9% of days and the outcome has been revised to "not achieved". Work on the filtration system has subsequently been undertaken to avoid this non-compliance reoccurring.

Audit of the Annual Report

Under the Public Audit Act 2001, the Auditor-General is required to carry out annual audits of all public organisations. Audit New Zealand undertake Masterton District Council's audit on behalf of the Auditor General.

An auditor gives an independent opinion on an organisation's financial and service performance statements. These statements are an important part the annual report. The auditor's opinion provides guidance on the reliability of the information in the annual report and must be completed prior to the adoption of the annual report.

Audit New Zealand undertook their audit of the 2021/22 Annual Report over February-May 2023.

Publishing the Annual Report

Once adopted it is a legal requirement for Council to publish the document, and a summary of this, within one month. The final published version will include images and formatting to enhance the aesthetic look and readability of the document. Proof-reading will also be completed.

A copy of the Summary document content (pre-design) will be circulated to elected members prior to publication. Audit NZ also review the summary document to ensure consistency with the Annual Report.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED

This is the only option considered because adoption of the Annual Report is a statutory requirement.

SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS

Strategic, Policy and Legislative Implications

Sections 98 and 99 of the Local Government Act 2002 require councils to prepare an Annual Report for the financial year just ended and in accordance with the information required by Part 3 of Schedule 10 of the same Act. The report has been prepared in accordance with the legislation.

For 2021/22 the Local Government Act 2002 required Council to adopt its audited Annual Report by 31 December 2022. As reported to Audit and Risk in November 2022, due to Audit New Zealand capacity the audit on our 2021/22 Annual Report was delayed and given that, our adoption date will not comply with legislative requirements for the 2021/22 financial year.

Significance, Engagement and Consultation

The decision to adopt the Annual Report is a statutory requirement. Given that it is not a significant decision. No consultation is considered necessary as the intent of the Annual Report is to inform the community. It is noted that the Annual Report is an important element of Council's accountability to the community. The Annual Report and a Summary of this will be published and made available to the community within one month of adoption.

Financial Considerations

The Annual Report includes extensive financial information on the Council's performance in the 2021/22 year. There are no financial considerations beyond the report itself.

Implications for Māori

The decision to adopt the Annual Report does not have any implications specific to Māori.

Communications/Engagement Plan

No communication or engagement plan is required. Council is required to publish the Annual Report and Summary document and make these available to the public within one month of adoption. For the 2021/22 Annual Report adopted 24 May, publication is required by 24 June 2023.

Environmental/Climate Change Impact and Considerations

There are no environmental/climate change impacts or considerations arising from the adoption of the Annual Report. The publication of the Annual Report and Summary documents will be done principally via the Council's website. Minimal hard copies will be printed.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Attachment 1 Annual Report 2021/22 (under separate cover) 🛣



4.2 CYCLONE AND EMERGENCY EVENT ROADING RECOVERY UPDATE AND APPROVALS

File Number:

| Author: | Kaine Jaquiery, Roading Manager |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Authoriser: | David Hopman, Chief Executive |

PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to update the Council on the funding applications, contractor registration process, site deterioration, and seek Council's approval to progress all identified current and future works for the recovery efforts following the June/July Storms 2022, Cyclone Hale, and Cyclone Gabrielle. The report also seeks Council approval to apply for a bespoke funding assistance arrangement with Waka Kotahi.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council

- 1. acknowledges that applications for central government funding have been submitted by Council Engineers to cover the costs of the initial response and recovery efforts for the June/July Storms 2022, Cyclone Hale, and Cyclone Gabrielle, with the respective total amounts indicated below:.
 - (a) June/July Storms 2022 \$8,186,255
 - (b) Cyclone Hale -\$3,854,327
 - (c) Cyclone Gabrielle -\$15,197,015
- 2. Notes that Engineers initiated the ROI procurement process to invite experienced contractors for civil earthworks, engineered fill, road construction, and retaining wall projects. 12 expressions of interest were received and those 12 contractors have been successfully prequalified, including local contractors and a specialist retaining wall contractor. The final tendering for the repair contracts will be exclusively offered to those contractors who registered through this ROI process.
- 3. Notes that during the ROI process, it was identified that only one contractor possesses the specialised skills and equipment required for constructing retaining walls. This contractor is currently engaged with the Council on various sites, their contracts are set to conclude in July 2023. It is crucial to award further work to this contractor to avoid losing their services to other districts.
- 4. Notes that several sites inspected by a team of engineering experts and retaining wall contractors, as identified in a report issued to Council in December 2022, have experienced significant deterioration due to the impact of Cyclone Hale and Gabrielle. Consequently, some of these sites are now included in the 2023 Gabrielle recovery workstream.

5. Approves

(a) the progress of current contracted works 22/23 and non-contracted future works in the 23/24 financial year, with all future non-contracted works to be completed in priority order. The estimated share of emergency funding required for both the 22/23 and 23/24 financial years is \$1,077,327 and \$4,331,224, respectively. All recovery sites identified as of March 2023 are included in the table in Attachment 1

(b) recommends that the Chief Executive and Council promptly apply for a bespoke funding assistance arrangement with Waka Kotahi. This funding opportunity, available through the policy for emergency funding, allows Councils to seek further financial support by demonstrating financial hardship. By making an application, the Council could access additional funding to address the financial challenges resulting from the cyclone damage to the roading network.

CONTEXT

The Masterton District has encountered extraordinary challenges in the aftermath of cyclones Hale and Gabrielle and following the record-breaking rainfall experienced in 2022. The roading network has suffered extensive damage, including scarred hillsides, multiple slips, and waterlogged roads. The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the costs associated with the recovery efforts and outline the necessary steps to restore the network to an acceptable level of service.

Engineers are currently in the process of completing the initial response phase to both cyclones Hale and Gabrielle, and are now transitioning to phase 2, which focuses on recovery. This phase involves the restoration of road sections that have been assessed as meeting specific criteria or posing a high risk of failure. By implementing the recommended potential solutions at these sites, we aim to ensure a safe level of service. Additionally, some sites have been prioritised for maintenance solutions to enhance the road's resilience against future weather events that are likely to occur. By implementing the recommended potential solutions at these sites, we aim to ensure a safe level of service.

While progress has been made in reopening certain roads, the network still faces significant challenges, and interim solutions have been implemented to maintain their continued use. However, it is important to note that the recovery phase will be vital in fully restoring the network to an acceptable level of service.

During this interim period, our transport network remains vulnerable and will require additional funding to continue maintenance at a higher level and keep the network at an acceptable, safe state. This is particularly due to the extensive land damage and saturation levels within the roading network caused by the cyclones. The completion of the initial response phase will be a significant milestone in our efforts to restore and stabilize critically damaged parts of the network.

ANALYSIS AND ADVICE

After Cyclone Hale and Gabrielle, a team consisting of engineering geologists/geotechnical engineers and experienced retaining wall contractors visited each of the affected sites to conduct a thorough visual inspection and assessment. The main objective of this inspection was to compile a comprehensive site report, evaluate feasible solutions, and provide a schedule of quantities to assist in budget estimation.

The information gathered from these assessments has been used in estimating the projected costs for future works and enhancing our understanding of the risks associated with repairing sites using conventional, non-complex recovery methods. For instance, utilising geogrid gravity walls, which



are typically more cost-effective than structurally designed walls. These less complicated solutions can also be constructed by local contractors, offers greater financial certainty.

Many of the sites that were inspected and assessed by a team of engineering geologists/geotechnical and retaining wall contractors were initially brought to the Council's attention in a report issued in December 2022. Following the impact of Cyclone Hale and Gabrielle, many of these sites experienced further significant deterioration and now fall under the recovery workstream of cyclone events.

Each site in Attachment 1 has been identified as requiring urgent engineering intervention or the implementation of a maintenance solution to prevent any further damage or complete loss of the road.

To help with accelerate the rebuild Council carried out a registration of interest procurement process (ROI) to invite contractors experienced in civil earthworks, engineered fill, road construction, and retaining wall projects. 12 expressions of interest received, and all 12 were successfully pre-qualified, including small local contractors and one specialist retaining wall contractor.

The final tendering for repair contracts will be exclusively offered to contractors registered through this ROI process. It is worth noting that during the ROI process, only one contractor with specialized skills and equipment for constructing retaining walls, registered their interest and prequalified. This contractor is currently engaged with the Council on various sites, their contracts will conclude in July 2023. To ensure continuity, it is crucial that this contractor is given the opportunity to price further work soon; otherwise, there is a risk of losing their services to other districts.

Tables 1 and 2 below provides a breakdown of the estimated funding required for each event and the expected phasing of funding allocation for this financial year and the next. Table 2 also presents the anticipated distribution of funding between Council and Waka Kotahi, assuming that the recovery work is funded at the enhanced FAR rate of 76%.

| Description | Cyclone Gabrielle | Cyclone Hale | June/July Storms 2022 |
|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| District wide clean-up initial response includes minor reinstatements or non-complex recovery | \$ 3,526,262 | \$1,700,142 | \$ 1920565 |
| Recovery | \$11,670,753 | \$2,154,185 | \$6,265,690 |
| Total | \$15,197,015 | \$3,854,185 | \$8,186,255 |

| Table 1: Estimated Funding | noodod to rootoro | reading naturally | nrouioun | loval of comiles |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|
| Table T. Estimated Funding | needed to restore | | Drevious I | ever or service |
| | | | | |

Table 2: Phasing of Funding for all emergency works, estimates by financial Year

| | 2022/2023 | | | 2023/2024 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Waka Kotahi | Council | Total | Waka Kotahi | Council | Total |
| June/July Storms 2022 | \$ 3,186,847 | \$ 951,915 | \$ 4,138,762 | \$ 3,076,095 | \$ 971,398 | \$ 4,047,493 |
| Cyclone Hale | \$ 1,649,137 | \$ 51,004 | \$ 1,700,142 | \$ 1,637,180 | \$ 51,7004 | \$ 2,154,185 |
| Cyclone Gabrielle | \$ 2,405,842 | \$ 74,407 | \$ 2,480,249 | \$ 9,873,944 | \$284,2821 | \$12,716,765 |
| Total | \$7,241,826 | \$1,077,327 | \$ 8,319,153 | \$14,587,219 | \$4,279,152 | \$18,918,443 |

Note: above assumes all recovery work is at an enhanced FAR rate of 77% with the first million at 56% in 2023/24

It is important to note that Waka Kotahi Emergency funding Policy allows for consideration of bespoke FAR arrangements in cases of financial hardship. It is recommended that the Council make an application to Waka Kotahi for a bespoke funding assistance FAR.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED

A summary of the options considered is included in the table below.

| Option | ion Advantages | |
|--|--|--|
| 1 Notes the estimated share of emergency funding required for both 22/23 and 23/24 financial years, and approves the engineers to progress on current contracted works and for non-contracted future works in 23/24. Note, all future non- contracted works would be completed in priority order. | We retain specialised contractors in our region to carry out complex engineering work. Sites that are high risk are not left to degrade further, subsequently costing the Council more in the long run. | There may be a cash flow risk until such time that the Government share of the funding is approved. |



| Option | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|--|------------|--|
| 2 Notes the estimated share of emergency funding required for both 22/23 and 23/24 financial years, continue to progress only response works and current contracted recovery works for 22/23. Halt all progress on future works with no Waka Kotahi confirmed approval until government share of funding is approved for 23/24. | | Without funding, sites will degrade, and access will be unsafe or lost to numerous parts of the roading network which may include strategic routes. We risk losing our specialised contractors to other districts, making it challenging to secure the skilled contractors needed for the works within timeframes required to prevent further deterioration and costs. |

RECOMMENDED OPTION

Option 1 is recommended. This recommendation ensures that the Council will have a well-defined plan and allocated funding for the continuation of current contracted works in the 2022/2023 financial year, as well as the inclusion of non-contracted future works in the 2023/2024 financial year. All future non-contracted works will be prioritised and completed in the order of importance. The estimated emergency funding shares of \$1,077,327 for 2022/2023 and \$4,331,224 for 2023/2024 provide the necessary Council financial share for the resources and recovery efforts. Additionally, the table in Attachment 1 comprehensively lists all the identified recovery sites as of March 2023, offering a clear reference for monitoring and progress tracking.

SUMMARY OF CONSIDERATIONS

Strategic, Policy and Legislative Implications

Procurement Policy – This work is required to be carried out under urgency to avoid further critical damage to infrastructure. This situation requires rapid procurement. Masterton District Council has initiated the registration of interest procurement process (ROI) and invited suitable contractors experienced in civil earthworks, engineered fill, road construction, and retaining wall projects to participate. We received a total 12 expressions of interest during this process, and we have successfully pre-qualified 12 contractors, primarily consisting of small local contractors, as well as one specialist retaining wall contractor. The final tendering for the repair contracts will be exclusively extended to contractors who are registered through this ROI process.

The Council has the appropriate delegated authority to approve the emergency works under MDC delegation register.

The emergency works are eligible for funding assistance from Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency and applications have been submitted and verbally endorsed. The application is in line with Waka Kotahi's policies and procedures but is subject to final board approval.



Significance, Engagement and Consultation

The proposed changes have been assessed against the MDC Significance and Engagement Policy. It is considered to have high significance in that:

Relates to a strategic part of the Roading Network

- There is positive impact on the community once remediated.
- There is an effect on residents' rates or MDC budgets; and
- There may be a degree of controversy.

Given the nature and urgency of the emergency work, consultation to obtain public feedback on analysis and alternatives was not carried out. The public will be informed throughout the repair process and listened to if any concerns are raised. The necessary emergency works are required to be completed with urgency to preserve the roading network and maintain a level of service.

Financial Considerations

All cost are indicative estimates are based on engineering assessments using technical experts.

All the works undertaken qualify for funding assistance rates at enhanced FARs, which involve coinvestment from the National Land Transport Fund (NLTF). This eligibility is based on the recognition of a defined, major, short-duration natural event (referred to as a qualifying event) that has significantly reduced or will reduce the levels of transport service provided to customers, compared to the pre-event conditions, resulting in unforeseen and substantial expenditure.

An application for funding has been submitted to Waka Kotahi, and the works have been discussed with our investment advisor. The approvals for the applications are currently pending and awaiting sign-off, as indicated in the table below.

| Event Name | New application under Review | Initial Approved Cost | Revised Total Cost | Cost/Scope Change under consideration | Council Share | FAR |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|---------|
| June/July Storms | | \$2,034728 | \$8,186,255 | | \$1,882,838 | 77%&76% |
| Jan Flooding Response (Hale) | \$1,700,142 | | | | \$51,004 | 97% |
| Jan Flooding Recovery (Hale) | 2,154,185 | | | | \$517,004 | 76% |
| Cyclone Gabrielle Response | | \$1,350,000 | \$3,526,262 | \$2,176,262 | \$105,788 | 97% |



| Event Name | New application under Review | Initial Approved Cost | Revised Total Cost | Cost/Scope Change under consideration | Council Share | FAR |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|--|
| Cyclone Gabrielle Recovery | \$11,670,753 | | | | \$3,000,980 | First \$1mill@ 56% Rest @76% |
| Sub Totals | \$15,525,080 | \$3,384,728 | \$11,712,517 | \$2,176,262 | \$5,557,615 | |
| Total | | | | \$27,237,597 | | |

The assistance rate for funding the Phase 2 recovery work in Masterton has not been finalized yet but has the potential to be set at 96%. In the table provided, a cautious approach has been taken, assuming a 76% assistance rate for the calculation of the Council's share. However, if the funding assistance rate for cyclone recovery work is increased to 96%, the Council's share would be adjusted accordingly and potentially reduced to around \$2,850,000.

The financial implications of the proposal also include ongoing operational maintenance cost implications. During this interim period of response and recovery, our transport network remains vulnerable and will require additional funding to maintain the prior level of service and ensure the network is safe. This is especially necessary due to the extensive land damage and saturation levels within the roading network resulting from the cyclones.

The Flood Damage Fund is expected to be in a deficit position of close to \$1,000,000 at 30 June 2023, having been used to fund the Council's share of the 2022/23 costs. The deficit in the fund means the Council is effectively internal borrowing from other reserve funds – a position that can only be sustained in the short term. The predicted Council funding requirement of between \$2.85 million and \$5.55 million that is noted above is not practical or sustainable to be funded from the already over-drawn reserve fund.

The 2023/24 Annual Plan has included a provision to restore the reserve funds to positive a number over a number of years, initially with a \$500,000 increase in rates funding. Roading rates will increase, with the impact being felt more by rural ratepayers (due to roading costs being weighed towards rural properties due to Council's differential rating).

External loan funding is recommended as the best option to fund the Council's share if the sum required is between \$2.85 and \$5.55 million. The debt will increase rates further from 2024/25 year as it incurs interest and provision for debt repayment is built into the Long Term Plan. The additional debt may restrict the Council's ability to borrow for other projects as it means prudent debt limits are closer.

Implications for Māori

No implications specific to Māori have been identified for this decision.

Communications/Engagement Plan

The standard contract start-up procedures will be undertaken to clarify contract requirements, and receive contract insurances, traffic management plans, quality plan, health and safety plan, environmental plan and contract programme.

Work sites and programmes will publicly be notified through Council Website and liaisons made with property owners adjacent to the works that are directly affected prior to starting any construction.

Environmental/Climate Change Impact and Considerations

No environmental/climate change impacts have been identified in relation to this decision.

NEXT STEPS

- Schedule all maintenance tasks and inspections for the next 6 months to ensure regular monitoring and upkeep of the network.
- Engage specific structural designers for sites selected for retaining walls to ensure appropriate and effective designs are implemented.
- Procure contractors to carry out typical revetment designs for coastal repairs along Mataikona Road, enhancing its stability and protection.
- Award the pipeline of work to prequalified contractors who have expressed interest through the ROI process, ensuring a smooth transition and continuity in the repair efforts.
- Release the approved sites for repair to the prequalified contractors, enabling them to initiate the necessary restoration work promptly.
- Explore opportunities to enhance the network's resilience wherever funding becomes available, considering measures to mitigate potential future damage and strengthen infrastructure.
- Identify the need for additional funding to address maintenance cost shortfalls in the road program. Assess the financial requirements and explore options for securing the necessary funds to ensure the ongoing maintenance and sustainability of the network.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Attachment 1 Identified Recovery Sites as at March 2023 🗓 🛣



| Site ID# | Road | RP | Contracted | Event Assigned | Priority | Feasible Solution | Estimated Budget |
|----------|--------------------------|--------|------------|----------------|----------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Tinui Valley Road | 4.954 | no | Grabrielle | High | H/Drains | 423,774 |
| 2 | Tinui Valley Road | 5.700 | no | Grabrielle | High | H/Drains | 604,774 |
| 3 | Alfredton Tinui | 0.409 | no | June/July | Medium | Maintenance/Geogrid | 111,832 |
| 4 | Alfredton Tinui | 0.427 | no | June/July | Medium | Merged with above | |
| 5 | Clelands Road | 1.480 | no | June/July | Medium | Maintenance / UB Piles | 78,352 |
| 6 | Homewood Road | 3.355 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Geogrid | 119,448 |
| 7 | Homewood Road | 4.283 | Yes | Hale | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 59,104 |
| 8 | Jacksons Line | 5.865 | no | June/July | Medium | Maintenance/Geogrid | 56550 |
| 9 | Kiriwhakapapa Rd | 4.869 | no | June/July | Hlgh | Ancr. Conc Block | 212,664 |
| 10 | Mangoranga Rd | 1.710 | no | June/July | Medium | Maintenance Option | 123,548 |
| 11 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 23.000 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Geogrid | 200,210 |
| 12 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 12.223 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Maintenance Option | 47,668.06 |
| 13 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 60.200 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Geogrid | 288,487.05 |
| 14 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 42.919 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | UB Piles | 460,368.64 |
| 15 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 49.918 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | UB Piles | 465,271.52 |
| 16 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 49.514 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Geogrid | 321,000 |
| 17 | Masterton Stronvar Rd | 8.645 | no | June/July | Hlgh | Maintenance | 66,049 |
| 18 | Mataikona Road | 6.180 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Reverent | 274,766.26 |
| 19 | Mataikona Road | 9.145 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Reverent | 318,440.68 |
| 20 | Mataikona Road | 7.575 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Reverent | 321,000 |
| 21 | North Rd | 4.763 | Yes | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 39,398.10 |
| 22 | Otahome Rd | 7.088 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Maintenance / UB Piles | 218,424 |
| 23 | Puketiritiri Rd | 2.880 | no | Grabrielle | Medium | Maintenance / Geogrid | 99,845 |
| 24 | Springhill Rd | 4.944 | no | Grabrielle | Medium | Maintenance Option | 299,535 |
| 25 | Springhill Rd | 4.944 | no | Grabrielle | Medium | Merged with above | |
| 26 | Springhill Rd | 4.866 | no | Grabrielle | Medium | Maintenance Option | 297,818 |
| 27 | Te Ore Ore Bideford | 12.133 | Yes | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 134,353.92 |

Attachment 1 Identified Recovery Sites as at March 2023



| Site ID# | Road | RP | Contracted | Event Assigned | Priority | Feasible Solution | Estimated Budget |
|----------|--------------------------|--------|------------|----------------|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| 28 | Tinui Valley Road | 0.498 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Maintenance Option | 1,403,222 |
| 29 | Tinui Valley Road | 7.728 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Gabion | 306,375 |
| 30 | Waimimi Rd | 1.853 | no | Hale | Medium | Horizontal drains | 559,125 |
| 31 | Wairiri Rd | 6.913 | no | June/July | Medium | Shotcrete | 140,889 |
| 32 | Wairiri Rd | 6.665 | no | June/July | Medium | UB Piles | 335,903 |
| 33 | Wairiri Rd | 2.008 | no | June/July | Medium | Geogrid | 303,548 |
| 34 | Whangaehu Valley | 6.230 | no | June/July | Hlgh | Geogrid | 105,138 |
| 35 | Mangarei Road- Bideford | 0.138 | no | June/July | Hlgh | UB Piles | 419,510 |
| 36 | Mangarei Road | 0.700 | no | June/July | Medium | | |
| 37 | Mangarei Road | 1.300 | no | June/July | Medium | | |
| 38 | Springhill | 4.780 | no | June/July | Medium | | |
| 39 | Clelands Road | 1.008 | no | June/July | Medium | Maintenance Option | 149,498 |
| 40 | Masterton Stronvar Rd | 6.665 | no | Hale | Hlgh | Geogrid | 687,395 |
| 41 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 4.002 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | UB Piles | 447,327 |
| 42 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 1.710 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | UB Piles | 511,986 |
| 43 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | | |
| 44 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 4.348 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | UB Piles | 666,831 |
| 47 | Mataikona Road | 11.978 | no | Grabrielle | Medium | Redirock | 3,017,000 |
| 48 | Tanawa Rd | 2.751 | Yes | Grabrielle | Hlgh | | 287,220 |
| 39a | Clelands Road | 1.096 | no | June/July | Medium | UB Piles | 154,923 |
| 29a | Tinui Valley Road | 7.159 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Gabion | 590,955 |
| 16a | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 49.416 | no | Grabrielle | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 44,640 |
| 49? | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 50.9 | no | Hale | Hlgh | UB Piles | 736,145 |
| 1G | Ngaumu Rd | 0.454 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 53,435 |
| 2G | Ngaumu Rd | 0.511 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 109,342 |
| 3G | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 28.683 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 422,523 |
| 5G | Langdale Rd | 1.625 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 41,907 |
| 6G | Whangehu Valley Rd | 15.091 | Yes | June/July | High | Willowbrush | 31,308 |
| 7G | Whangehu Valley Rd | 13.342 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 116,578 |



| Site ID# | Road | RP | Contracted | Event Assigned | Priority | Feasible Solution | Estimated Budget |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|
| 8G | Whangehu Valley Rd | 11.391 | Yes | June/July | Hlgh | Willowbrush | 32,569 |
| 9G | Ngahape Rd | 11.350 | Yes | Hale | High | Willowbrush | 140,010 |
| 10G | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 50.736 | Yes | Hale | High | Willowbrush | 75,439 |
| 63 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 9.362 | Yes | Hale | High | Willowbrush | 177,712 |
| Carlswell Site 1 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.425 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 203,989 |
| Carlswell Site 2 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.643 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 237,571 |
| Carswell Site 3 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.842 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 275,435 |
| Carlswell Site 4 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.842 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 141,783 |
| Carlswell Site 5 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 23.142 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 141,783 |
| Carlswell Op. Site 1 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.425 | Yes | June/July | High | Slip | 277,660 |
| Carlswell Op. Site 2 | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 22.710 | Yes | June/July | High | Slip | 248,144 |
| 6GEO | Masterton Castlepoint Rd | 34.050 | Yes | Hale | High | Geogrid | 210,746 |
| 7GEO | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 0.590 | Yes | June/July | High | Geogrid | 192,409 |
| 5,6,7 | Blairlogie Langdale Rd | 5.970 | Yes | June July | High | UB Piles | 2,157,984 |